

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,954	09/26/2001		Steven Jerome Caruso		1578
23446	7590	08/20/2003		φ	•
		LD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST SUITE 3400		N STREET	BALSIS, SHAY L		
CHICAGO,	IL 6066	1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1744	
				DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						\mathcal{A}°	
	·		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	4	
			09/9	63,954	CARUSO, STEVE	CARUSO, STEVEN ÆROME	
•	Offic	Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit	''	
•			Shav	L Balsis	1744		
	The MAI	LING DATE of this commu			with the correspondence ac	ldress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED MAILING I ensions of time if SIX (6) MONT e period for repl of period for repl ure to reply with reply received I	ly is specified above, the maximum	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In mmunication. (30) days, a reply within th statutory period will apply ply will, by statute, cause th s after the mailing date of t	no event, however, may be statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) No the application to become	thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this ce ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xxmmunication.	
1)	Respons	sive to communication(s)	filed on 22 May 20	03 .			
2a)□	•	on is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action		•		
3)	Since thi	s application is in conditi accordance with the pra	on for allowance e	xcept for formal r	natters, prosecution as to th C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is	
4) 🖾	Claim(s)	<u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	e application.				
	4a) Of the	above claim(s) is.	/are withdrawn fror	n consideration.			
5)	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s)	1-20 is/are rejected.				•	
7)	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) _ ion Paper	are subject to rest	riction and/or electi	on requirement.			
	•	ication is objected to by t	the Examiner.	-			
•—	•	•		☐ accepted or b)	objected to by the Examin	er.	
,—					eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The propos	sed drawing correction fil	led on is: a)	approved b)	disapproved by the Examir	ier.	
	If approve	ed, corrected drawings are	required in reply to th	is Office action.			
12)	The oath o	r declaration is objected	to by the Examine	r.			
Priority (under 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowle	dgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)[☐ Some * c)☐ None of					
	1. Cei	tified copies of the priori	ty documents have	been received.			
	2. Cei	rtified copies of the priori	ty documents have	been received in	Application No		
* (pies of the certified copie application from the Inte ached detailed Office act	rnational Bureau (I	PCT Rule 17.2(a)		Stage	
14) 🛛 A	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim	n for domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).	
	· —	ranslation of the foreign l gment is made of a clain		• •			
Attachmen		-	·	-			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Reference of Draftspermation Disclo	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review esure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT		
I.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re	Frademark Office ev. 04-01)		Office Action Su	mmary	Part of Paper No. 6		

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 36 and 44. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-13 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyawaki et al. "Miyawaki" (USPN 5979011).

Miyawaki teaches a dust removing apparatus comprising a primary moving surface (30) with a first portion to be in contact with a surface to be cleaned (2) and a second portion to be in contact with a secondary moving surface (32). The primary surface is comprised of standing fibers having a directional bias (figure 6). The secondary surface moves in a clockwise direction while the primary surface moves in a counter-clockwise direction. The primary and second surfaces are powered by an electric motor (MI). The apparatus also comprises a power fan implement (48) and a vacuum fan inlet (47a, 47b) for collecting the dust. The primary and the secondary surfaces move at different surface velocities, wherein the surface velocity is different

Art Unit: 1744

in its component of direction and in it component of speed. Since the primary and secondary surfaces are not connected together by means of a belt or gearing it is clear that they move independently of each other.

4. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Price (USPN 3482273).

Price teaches a surface treating apparatus comprising a primary moving surface (11) with a first portion to be in contact with a surface to be cleaned (10) and a second portion to be in contact with a secondary moving surface (17). The primary surface is comprised of standing fibers having a directional bias (figure 6). The secondary surface moves in a clockwise direction while the primary surface moves in a counter-clockwise direction. The primary and second surfaces are powered by an electric motor (14).

5. Claims 1-4, 6-7 and 9-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dempsey (USPN 2469636).

Dempsey teaches a cleaning apparatus comprising a primary moving surface (17) with a first portion to be in contact with a surface to be cleaned and a second portion to be in contact with a secondary moving surface (31). The primary surface is comprised of standing fibers having a directional bias (figure 3). The secondary surface moves in a clockwise direction while the primary surface moves in a counter-clockwise direction. The primary and second surfaces are powered by an electric motor (36). The apparatus also comprises a vacuum fan inlet (40) for collecting the dirt.

6. Claims 1-4, 6-7 and 9-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nordeen (USPN 4426751).

Art Unit: 1744

Nordeen teaches a vacuum cleaner comprising a primary moving surface (117) with a first portion to be in contact with a surface to be cleaned and a second portion to be in contact with a secondary moving surface (116). The primary surface is comprised of standing fibers having a directional bias (figure 5). The secondary surface moves in a clockwise direction while the primary surface moves in a counter-clockwise direction. The primary and second surfaces are powered by an electric motor (20, 22). The cleaner also comprises a vacuum fan inlet (13a) for collecting the dirt.

7. Claims 1-4 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Courchene (USPN 1942204).

Courchene teaches a vacuum cleaner comprising a primary moving surface (C) with a first portion to be in contact with a surface to be cleaned and a second portion to be in contact with a secondary moving surface (60). The primary surface is comprised of standing fibers having a directional bias (figure 3). The secondary surface moves in a clockwise direction while the primary surface moves in a counter-clockwise direction.

8. Claims 1-3, 9 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsuruzawa et al. "*Tsuruzawa*" (USPN 3842459).

Tsuruzawa teaches a vacuum cleaner comprising a primary moving surface (8) with a first portion to be in contact with a surface to be cleaned and a second portion to be in contact with a secondary moving surface (10). The primary surface is comprised of standing fibers having a directional bias (figure 1). The primary and the secondary surfaces move at different surface velocities, wherein the surface velocity is different in its component of direction and in it

Art Unit: 1744

component of speed. Since the primary and secondary surfaces are not connected together by means of a belt or gearing it is clear that they move independently of each other.

9. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gasparrini (USPN 5322015).

Gasparrini teaches a vacuum cleaner comprising a primary moving surface (28) with a first portion to be in contact with a surface (60) to be cleaned and a second portion to be in contact with a secondary moving surface (24). There is a vacuum fan inlet (58) to remove loosened lint and debris.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyawaki (USPN 5979011), Price (USPN 3482273) Dempsey (USPN 2469636), Nordeen (USPN 4426751), Courchene (USPN 1942204), Tsuruzawa (USPN 3842459) and Gasparrini (USPN 5322015) all in view of Rodowsky, Jr. et al. "*Rodowsky*" (USPN 4300262).

All the above reference teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however, they fail to teach using an air turbine motor. Rodowski teaches a vacuum cleaner that uses an air turbine motor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an air turbine motor on all of the references because it ensures good

Art Unit: 1744

air flow with a minimum of air turbulence without unduly restricting air flow, thereby enhancing turbine efficiency (col. 2, lines 35-48).

12. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyawaki (USPN 5979011), Price (USPN 3482273) Dempsey (USPN 2469636), Nordeen (USPN 4426751), Courchene (USPN 1942204) and Tsuruzawa (USPN 3842459) all in view of Rodowsky, Jr. et al. "Rodowsky" (USPN 4300262).

All the above reference teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however, they fail to teach using an air turbine motor. Rodowski teaches a vacuum cleaner that uses an air turbine motor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an air turbine motor on all of the references because it ensures good air flow with a minimum of air turbulence without unduly restricting air flow, thereby enhancing turbine efficiency (col. 2, lines 35-48).

13. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyawaki (USPN 5979011) and Tsuruzawa (USPN 3842459) all in view of Rodowsky, Jr. et al. "*Rodowsky*" (USPN 4300262).

The above reference teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however, they fail to teach using an air turbine motor. Rodowski teaches a vacuum cleaner that uses an air turbine motor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an air turbine motor on the above references because it ensures good air flow with a minimum of air turbulence without unduly restricting air flow, thereby enhancing turbine efficiency (col. 2, lines 35-48).

Art Unit: 1744

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shay L Balsis whose telephone number is 703-305-7275. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 M-Th, alternating F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5665.

slb July 30, 2003

> ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

blut 7, Warden, In.